

IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL  
DELHI BENCHES "G" : DELHI

BEFORE SHRI BHAVNESH SAINI, J.M. AND SHRI L.P. SAHU, A.M.

ITA.No.2070/Del./2015  
Assessment Year 2011-2012

Shri Sanjay Ahuja, Prop. M/s. Nazaakat the Saree Shop, 168-170, Katra Nawab, Chandni Chowk, New Delhi – 110 006. PAN ADSPA3230F	vs.	The DCIT, Circle-29(1), New Delhi.
(Appellant)		(Respondent)

For Assessee :	-None-
For Revenue :	Shri Pradeep Singh Gautam, Sr. D.R.

Date of Hearing :	28.08.2018
Date of Pronouncement :	28.08.2018

**ORDER**

**PER BHAVNESH SAINI, J.M.**

This appeal by assessee has been directed against the Order of the Ld. CIT(A)-16, New Delhi, Dated 03.02.2015, for the A.Y. 2011-2012.

2. None appeared on behalf of the assessee at the time of hearing of the appeal despite service of the notice. It, therefore, appears that assessee is no more interested in prosecuting the appeal. The appeal of assessee is, therefore, liable to be dismissed.

3. In view of the above and having regard to Rule 19(2) of Income Tax Appellate Tribunal Rules and following various decisions of Delhi Bench of the Tribunal including that of Multiplan India Ltd., 38 ITD 320 (Del.); Hon'ble Madhya Pradesh High Court decision in the case of Estate of Late Tukojirao Holkar vs. CWT 223 ITR 480 (MP), and also the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of CIT vs. B. Bhattachargee & Another (118 ITR 461 at page 477-478) wherein their Lordships held that the appeal does not mean, mere filing of the memo of appeal but effectively pursuing the same, the appeal of the assessee is dismissed as un-admitted.

4. In the result, appeal of the assessee is dismissed.

Order pronounced in the open Court.

Sd/-  
(LP SAHU)  
ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

Sd/-  
(BHAVNESH SAINI)  
JUDICIAL MEMBER

Delhi, Dated 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2018

VBP/-

Copy to

1.	The appellant
2.	The respondent
3.	CIT(A) concerned
4.	CIT concerned
5.	D.R. ITAT 'G' Bench, Delhi
6.	Guard File.

// BY Order //

Assistant Registrar : ITAT Delhi Benches :  
Delhi.